

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,959	10/21/2003		Heinz Studer	33997.0091 4430	
26712	7590	07/07/2005		EXAMINER	
HODGSON ONE M & T F		.P	AMARI, ALESSANDRO V		
SUITE 2000	Di Wi		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BUFFALO, N	NY 14203	-2391	2872		

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/689,959	STUDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alessandro V. Amari	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ma	av 2005.					
_	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,8-10,13-16 and 18-21 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,8-10,13-16 and 18-21 is/are regarded. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13-16, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fay et al US 5,009,488 in view of Stenzel EP 1205780.

In regard to claims 1, 13, 20 and 21, Fay et al discloses all of the limitations with the exception of the transponders and the reading unit. Specifically Fay et al discloses (see Figures 1, 3-5) a microscope or an assembly adapted to be held by a magazine of a microscope or a process for carrying out a selected investigation using a microscope comprising an optical path as shown in Figure 1; a magazine (52) having a plurality of receiving areas as shown in Figures 3 and 4; a plurality of assemblies (58), each of said plurality of assemblies being accommodated by a respective one of said plurality of receiving areas for selective positing in said optical path by operation of said magazine as shown in Figure 3, a plurality of markings (74) associated with one with each of said plurality of assemblies, a reader unit (76) for reading data from markings and conducting said investigation in accordance with said read data as described in column 3, lines 56-68 and column 4, lines 1-18. In regard to claims 20 and 21, Fay et al discloses (see Figures 1, 3-5 and 7) a computer executable process or computer readable storage medium storing computer executable instructions for performing steps

of reading data associated with a filter (58) in an optical path of a microscope; reading filter data from a database, said filter data corresponding to a selected microscopy investigation; comparing said data with said filter data; and opening a shutter (77) in said optical path if said data provided match said filter data and is in said optical path as described in column 4, lines 44-68 and column 5, lines 1-20.

Page 3

However, in regard to claims 1, and 13, Fay et al does not teach a plurality of transponders associated one with each of said plurality of assemblies, wherein each of said plurality of transponders includes stored data; and a reader unit for reading said stored data of a transponder associated with an assembly positioned in said optical path. Further, regarding claims 2 and 15, Fay et al does not further teach a writer unit for writing data into any one of said plurality of transponders. Further, in regard to claims 20 and 21, Fay et al does not teach reading data from a transponder associated with a filter.

In regard to claims 1 and 13, Stenzel does teach (see Figure 1) a plurality of transponders (6) associated one with each of said plurality of assemblies, wherein each of said plurality of transponders includes stored data; and a reader unit (7) for reading said stored data of a transponder associated with an assembly positioned in said optical path as described in column 4, lines 54-58 and column 5, lines 1-11.

Regarding claims 2 and 15, Stenzel does teach a writer unit for writing data into any one of said plurality of transponders as described in column 2, lines 49-58 and column 3, lines 1-35.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,959

Art Unit: 2872

In regard to claims 20 and 21, Stenzel does teach reading data from a transponder associated with a filter as described in as described in column 2, lines 49-58 and column 3, lines 1-35.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the transponders of Stenzel in the microscope of Fay et al in order to provide for input of additional information or rewriting of data on filters or assemblies making possible improved automatic identification of filters or assemblies and their position in the beam path of the microscope.

Regarding claim 5, Fay et al further discloses a motor (62) connected to said magazine for moving said magazine as shown in Figure 7 and as described in column 3, lines 56-68 and column 4, lines 1-18.

Regarding claim 6, Fay et al discloses an electronic control unit (104, 106) for controlling processes as described in column 4, lines 63-68 and column 5, lines 1-20.

Regarding claims 8 and 16, Fay et al discloses that said microscope is designed for fluorescence measurements as described in column 2, lines 6-9.

Regarding claim 9, Fay et al discloses that said microscope is a stereomicroscope as described in column 3, lines 33-39.

Regarding claim 14, Fay et al further discloses the steps of reading reference data corresponding to said selected investigation, comparing said read data with said reference data and stopping said investigation if said read data does not match said reference data for said selected investigation as shown in Figure 8.

Regarding claim 18, Fay et al further discloses the step of storing said read data as shown in Figures 7 and 8 and as described in column 4, lines 63-68, column 5, lines 1-20 and column 6, lines 4-14.

Regarding claim 19, Fay et al further discloses the step of using said read data that have been stored to provide operational data as shown in Figure 8 and as described in column 4, lines 63-68, column 5, lines 1-20 and column 6, lines 4-14.

3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ammann et al WO99/13370 in view of Stenzel EP 1205780.

In regard to claim 10, Amann et al teaches (see Figures 6, 16a-16c) a filter magazine (17) for use in a microscope comprising a plurality of receiving areas (19b-h) and at least one filter carrier (17) each said filter carrier being accommodated by a respective one of said plurality of receiving areas and carrying at least one filter (15) intended to be positioned in an optical path of said microscope by operation of said magazine.

However, in regard to claim 10, Amann et al does not teach that the microscope has a reader unit for reading data stored in a transponder or carrying a transponder with which filter is associated wherein the transponder stores data indicating characteristics of said filter associated therewith.

In regard to claim 10, Stenzel et al teaches (see Figure 1) that the microscope has a reader unit (7) for reading data stored in a transponder or carrying a transponder (6) with which filter is associated wherein the transponder stores data indicating characteristics of said filter associated therewith as described in column 3, lines 36-50.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the reader and transponder of Stenzel in the microscope of Ammann et al in order to provide for input of additional information or rewriting of data on filters or assemblies making possible improved automatic identification of filters or assemblies and their position in the beam path of the microscope.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 2 May 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Stenzel does not suggest associating transponders with filters, but only with objectives and the reader unit of Stenzel does not read stored data of a transponder associated with a filter.

In response to this argument, the Examiner directs the applicants attention to column 3, lines 36-50 of Stenzel which states:

Also, alternatively or additionally, the wavelength and/or line width of filters or filter systems could be stored in a transponder.

Therefore, Stenzel does indeed teach that data stored in a transponder is associated with a filter.

The applicant further argues that Fay et al does not teach that the shutter can only be opened once a correct filter is in the optical path but that even if the actual characteristics of the filter do not match what is expected from the filter identification code the shutter is nevertheless enabled.

In response to this argument, the applicants attention is directed to column 5, lines 6-19 which state:

The entire system is controlled by central processing unit 106 through digital to analog converters of converter unit 108. The CPU 106 controls the shutter 77, the shutter 90, and the focusing motor 44 and, through the filter controller 104, it controls the filter motor 62. The CPU receives an acknowledge signal from the filter controller when a selected filter is positioned in the optic path and receives position input from the eddy current sensor electronics 98 through an analog to digital converter of converter unit 108. Under control of the cpu, signals from the camera 30 are received through the analog to digital converters of unit 108 and are stored in the memory 109 for processing.

Page 7

Further as shown in Figure 8B, the flow chart indicates that the processor goes through the step of changing filters by rotating filter wheel before opening the excitation light shutter. Therefore, Fay does teach that the shutter can only be opened once a correct filter is in the optical path.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,959 Page 8

Art Unit: 2872

PM.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alessandro V. Amari whose telephone number is (571) 272-2306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ava៨¼ 29 June 2005 MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER